I. Contract Service Arrangements

1 The tariffs currently in effect for Contract Service Arrangements will remain unchanged under the Price Plan; provided, however, an ILEC's contract service arrangements are subject to any applicable rules and procedures implemented in other sections of the Regulations, and all Contract Service Arrangements must specifically comply with the pricing rules and floors set forth in subsections G and H above.

J. Monitoring and Reporting Requirements under Price Plan

1. Marketplace Data Submissions and Related Monitoring

The Commission and its Staff shall monitor the development of competition in the telecommunications markets in Louisiana subsequent to the effective date of the Price Plan as to any ILEC. Upon request, an ILEC regulated under the Price Plan shall furnish the Commission, data related to the following:

- a. Changes in the marketplace.
- b. The impact of competition on the ILEC.
- c. The competitive status of services to determine the degree of competition in their provisioning.
- d. The impact of federal initiatives.
- e. The technical compatibility between carriers.
- f. Service performance of new market entrants.

The information will be used by the Commission to assess the impact of marketplace changes, the continued viability of the Price Plan, market impact of competition on ILECs, market impact of federal initiatives, appropriateness of service categories, technical compatibility between telecommunications services providers, service quality performance of all telecommunications services providers, and other issues arising from the entry of new providers of telecommunications services in the local market. The Commission reserves the right to establish new areas of inquiry and investigation.

2. Financial Reporting

An ILEC regulated pursuant to the Price Plan shall file semi-annually, Louisiana specific company basis reports excluding Commission adjustments and returns as specified in Appendix

"C" hereto. An ILEC regulated under the Price Plan shall continue to report to the Commission on an interstate, intrastate, and non-regulated basis. A Small ILEC regulated under a price plan shall continue to file all reports and data required to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Order No. U-21181, dated June 30, 1995. In addition, an ILEC regulated under the Price Plan shall furnish the Commission the following data within thirty days of issuance:

- a. Annual Reports of the ILEC and parent corporation.
- b. Forms 10Q and 10K of the ILEC and parent corporation.
- c. Proxy statements containing financial data not in annual reports.
- d. Shareholder Newsletters.
- e. ARMIS Reports.

3. Service Quality

ILECs regulated pursuant to the Price Plan shall furnish the Commission the following service quality data on a semi-annual basis for monitoring by the Commission and its Staff:

- a. Commission Complaints per 10,000 access lines for ILECs with more than 100,000 access lines; or Commission Complaints per 100 access lines for ILECs with less than 100,000 access lines.
- b. Percentage Installation Appointments Offered within Five (5) Days of Service Contact.
- c. Percentage Installation Appointments Met.
- d. Total Network Repair Reports per 100 Access Lines.
- e. Average Duration (Hours) Special Services Complex.
- f. Percentage Out of Service Troubles Cleared within 24 Hours.
- g. Overall Residence Satisfaction.

The data described above shall be segregated by the ILEC so that the Commission can monitor and evaluate separately the ILEC's service quality performance for Basic Services. If the Commission finds as a result of monitoring that the ILEC's service quality is substandard in any one of the above listed categories, the Commission may, after notice and hearing, take action as it deems necessary and proper to assure a desirable level of service quality, including imposing a

monetary penalty not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per violation.

4 Periodic Reviews of the Price Plan

The Commission shall review the Price Plan at the end of the third (3rd) year of the Plan with particular attention to the following issues:

- a. The status of universal service.
- b. The ILEC's compliance with Plan rules and reporting requirements.
- c. Just and reasonable rates (as determined without reference to a rate of return or other rate base proceeding).
- d. Modification to Plan parameters.
- e. Service quality.
- f. The consumer and marketplace impacts of price regulation.
- g. The status of competition in all markets and its impact on consumers and on the ILECs.
- h. The continuation of price caps on the Interconnection Services category subsequent to the third year of the Price Plan.

The Commission shall conduct a review of the following during the sixth (6th) year of the Price Plan:

- a. The status of universal service.
- b. Service quality performance.
- c. The consumer and marketplace impacts of price regulation.
- d. The degree of technological change in the marketplace.
- e. The impact of federal initiatives on Louisiana telecommunications markets.
- f. The status of competition in all markets and its impact on consumers and on the ILECs.
- g. The ILEC's compliance with Plan pricing rules and reporting requirements.

- h. Just and reasonable rates (as determined without reference to a rate of return or other rate-based proceeding).
- i. Modifications to, or termination of the Plan.
- j. The continued viability of the Price Plan.

Adjustments or modifications based on the findings resulting from the Periodic Reviews will be implemented on a prospective basis. Any further reviews of the Plan, including periodic update of Plan parameters, will be considered.

K. BellSouth Annual Rate Reductions

1. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the stipulation entered in Subdocket E of Docket No. U-17949 (the "Stipulation"), BellSouth shall provide to its ratepayers seventy million dollars (\$70,000,000) in rate reductions over the initial three (3) years that BellSouth is regulated under the Price Plan, and shall additionally provide a one time nine million dollar (\$9,000,000) credit to its ratepayers during the first year BellSouth is regulated under the Price Plan. These reductions shall be made according to the Stipulation and applied as determined by the Commission.

L. Miscellaneous Provisions

- 1. During the Price Plan, an ILEC regulated thereunder shall notify its customers of any change in the rate for services offered using the same procedures in effect at the implementation of the Price Plan.
- 2. Rather than limit or restrict an ILEC's commitment to universal service and to the ILEC's fulfillment of Essential Telecommunications Carrier obligations, the Price Plan is intended to strengthen and reaffirm such commitments.

SECTION 801. Number Portability

- A. TSPs providing local telecommunications services shall provide number portability that ensures that an end-user customer of local telecommunications services, while at the same location, shall be able to retain an existing telephone number without impairing the quality, reliability, or convenience of service when changing from one provider of local telecommunications services to another. The type of number portability contemplated by this rule is service provider portability and not location portability.
- B. The end-user customers of a CLEC shall not be required to dial the telephone number of an ILEC's end-user customer in any way other than that required of the ILEC's

end-users.

- C. The end-user customers of an ILEC shall not be required to dial the telephone number of a CLEC's end-user customer in any way other than that required to dial other end-users of the ILEC.
- D. As of the effective date of these Regulations, and as an interim measure, remote call forwarding and direct inward dialing, as specified below, shall be made available to a CLEC according to the following guidelines: 1) within sixty (60) days of receipt of a request, an ILEC shall make the requested interim number portability solution available at a reasonable cost-based charge agreed to between the parties, or 2) if within sixty (60) days of receipt of a request, an agreement is not reached between the parties, the matter will be resolved by the Commission upon petition of either party. As part of the Commission's review of the matter, the ILEC shall provide TSLRIC and LRIC studies to the Commission which show the cost of providing the requested interim number portability solution. There is no mandate that the interim number portability solutions be provided by the ILEC to CLECs at its TSLRIC or LRIC of providing such services.
- E. Once the costs studies specified in Section 901.C below are filed with the Commission, the Commission shall establish a reasonable cost-based rate at which an ILEC shall make the interim number portability solutions available to other TSPs. There is no mandate that the interim number portability solutions be provided by the ILEC to CLECs at their TSLRIC or LRIC of providing such services.
- F. The CLEC is required to arrange for transport facilities to the central office where portability is sought. A CLEC shall reciprocate by offering number portability to an ILEC under the same arrangements.
- G. In order to implement remote call forwarding, an ILEC's tariffed remote call forwarding service shall forward any call to a ported number to trunk groups associated with the CLEC. The central office switch where the number resides should be programmed to reroute calls from the called number to a new number located in a different switch. Both the end-user customer of, and the caller to a remote call forwarding number should be unaware of the second number. Should technology change in the future this method may be modified by the Commission.
- H. In order to implement the direct inward dialing option, all incoming calls to the ported number shall be routed to the ILEC end office. From there it should then be routed via the direct trunk group to the CLEC switch. Because direct inward dialing is normally provisioned in groups of 20 numbers, ILEC policies shall be changed to allow a single number to be identified as a direct inward dialing number. Should technology change in the future this method may be modified by the Commission.

- I. At the earliest possible date all TSPs shall cooperate and use their best efforts to design, develop and deploy number portability databases, associated connections and/or other arrangements to achieve a permanent number portability solution.
- J. The costs associated with development and deployment of a permanent number portability solution, such as a database, or other arrangement, shall be recovered from all TSPs using or benefitting from such a solution.

SECTION 901. Interconnection

- A. Interconnection of the local telephone networks at reasonable rates is essential to local telephone competition. Competing networks shall be interconnected so that customers can seamlessly receive calls that originate on another carrier's network and place calls that terminate on another carrier's network without dialing extra digits, paying extra, or doing any other such action out of the ordinary that is not required when dialing on his/her own carrier's ILEC or CLEC network. TSPs should be interconnected with the ILECs in a manner that gives the TSPs seamless integration into and use of local telephone company signaling and interoffice networks in a manner equivalent to that of the ILECs. Interconnection shall include access to switches, databases, signaling systems and other facilities or information associated with originating and terminating communications.
- B. Based on current traffic and market conditions in the CMRS industry, mandatory CMRS-to-CMRS interconnection is not required by the interconnection obligations of this Section (901). However, providers of CMRS and PMRS are encouraged to develop interconnection arrangements among themselves and with other TSPs which foster the Commission policy of promoting the interconnection of competing networks so that customers can seamlessly receive and place calls originating and terminating on other carriers' networks.
- C. Physical Interconnection for purposes of utilizing unbundled basic network components of ILEC networks:
 - 1. Physical interconnect charges between and among TSPs shall be tariffed and based on cost information. The cost information derived from both TSLRIC and LRIC studies shall be provided to the Commission. This information will be used by the Commission to determine a reasonable tariffed rate. There is no mandate that interconnection services be provided by the ILEC to TSPs at its TSLRIC or LRIC of providing such services.
 - 2. ILECs must conduct within ninety (90) days from the effective date of these Regulations the TSLRIC and LRIC studies on all basic network service components and file such studies with the Commission. Basic network components shall include, without limitation, network access, switching and switch functions, transport (dedicated and

switched) and ancillary services.5

- 3. Physical interconnection tariffs shall be filed in accordance with Section 401
- D. Exchange of local traffic between competing carriers shall be reciprocal and compensation arrangements for such exchange shall be mutual. That is, TSPs shall pay the same rate to each other for the termination of calls on the other's network. This rate will equal the intrastate switched access service rate less the residual interconnection charge and the carrier common line charge on a per minute basis.
- E. No ILEC or CLEC shall pay any other ILEC or CLEC for more than 110% of the minutes of use of the provider with the lower minutes of use in the same month. For example, if TSP number one has 10,000 minutes of local traffic terminated on TSP number two's network, and TSP number two has 15,000 minutes of local traffic terminated on TSP number one's network, TSP number two will compensate TSP number one on the basis of 11,000 minutes (10,000 minutes x 110%). Such an arrangement avoids significant payment differences due to a traffic imbalance
- F. ILECs and CLECs shall file reports with the Commission Secretary on April 1st of each year which show by month the volume of local terminating traffic delivered to ILECs or CLECs during the previous year.
- G. Interconnections arrangements established pursuant to Commission Docket No. U-18976 shall remain in effect until January 1, 1999, unless otherwise modified by the Commission.
- H. TSPs shall be required to enter into reciprocal, mutual billing and collection agreements which ensure that each TSP can accept other TSPs' telephone line numbers based on nonproprietary calling cards; and, ensures that each TSP can bill and collect on collect calls and on calls billed to a third number served by another TSP.
- I. The ILECs shall not limit the ability of a TSP to provide and carry operator services traffic.
- J. CLECs shall have access to 911 connectivity where provided by an ILEC under the same terms and conditions enjoyed by the ILEC.
- K. TSPs shall be afforded nondiscriminatory access to each other's data bases as follows:

⁵Re A Methodology to Determine Long Run Incremental Cost, 156 PUR 4th 1, Michigan Public Service Commission, Case No. U-10620, September 8, 1994.

- 1. Directory Assistance and Line Information databases TSPs shall be permitted to input their customers' telephone numbers and any pertinent account data into the ILEC directory assistance and line information databases. TSPs shall also be permitted to access any customer's number from the TSP directory assistance and line information databases in order to provide directory assistance service to its customers or to obtain billing name and address.
- 2. Public Interest Services TSPs shall have equal access to provide their customer numbers and address information to 911 providers, whether these providers are the ILEC or independent service bureaus.
- 3. TSP Service Databases TSPs shall be provided access to TSP service databases (e.g., 800, line information, AIN) through signaling interconnection, with functionality, quality, terms, and conditions equal to that provided by the TSP to itself and its affiliates. TSPs will be charged tariffed rates for database queries equal to that charged to interexchange carriers for the same functions. The TSPs will impute the tariffed rates of database access to it services.
- 4. No TSP shall access the customer proprietary network information ("CPNI") of another interconnecting TSP for the purpose of marketing its services to the interconnecting company's customers. Likewise, no TSP shall access the CPNI of a company reselling its services, without permission of the reseller, for the purpose of marketing services to the reseller's customers.
- L. TSPs shall develop mutually agreeable and reciprocal arrangements for the protection of their respective customer proprietary network information.
- M. Nothing in this Section (901) shall be construed as authorizing the concentration of access lines in contravention of the prohibitions contained in Commission Orders U-16462 and U-17957-C.
- N. All TSPs holding a certificate from the Commission are prohibited from providing interconnection services to non-certificated TSPs, unless the non-certificated TSP is exempt from the Commission's certification requirements pursuant to state or federal law or explicit Commission order.

SECTION 1001. Unbundling

A. All TSPs shall be able to purchase desired features, functions, capabilities and services promptly and on an unbundled and non-discriminatory basis from all other TSPs provisioning services within the State.

- B. Unless exempted pursuant to Section 202 above, an ILEC shall provide unbundled loops, ports, signaling links, signal transfer points, and signaling control points to a requesting TSP upon the effective date of these Regulations.
- C. Unless exempted pursuant to Section 202 above, after the effective date of these Regulations, an ILEC shall provide additional unbundling within ninety (90) days of receipt of a bona fide request from a TSP. Additional unbundled basic network components shall include, but not be limited to:
 - 1. Logical components within the loop plant, including loop distribution, loop concentration, and loop feeder.
 - 2. End office and tandem switching.
 - 3. Operator systems.
 - 4. Common and dedicated transport links.
- D. TSPs shall be able to interconnect with all unbundled basic network components at any technically feasible point within an ILEC's network. Access, use and interconnection of all basic network components shall be on terms and conditions identical to those an ILEC provides to itself and its affiliates for the provision of exchange, exchange access, intraLATA toll and other ILEC services.
- E. As specified in Section 901 above, rates for utilizing unbundled basic network components of ILEC networks and interconnection thereto shall be tariffed and based on cost information. There is no mandate that unbundled elements be provided by the ILEC to TSPs at its TSLRIC or LRIC of providing such elements.
- F. ILECs shall put into place a service ordering, repair, maintenance, and implementation scheduling system for use by TSPs, which is equivalent to that used by the ILECs and their affiliates for their own retail exchange services. Data pertaining to service and facility availability shall be made available to TSPs in the same manner used by the ILECs and their affiliates.
- G. ILECs shall include on a non-discriminatory basis the telephone numbers of CLEC customers in the ILECs' (including ILEC affiliates') "White Pages" residential and business listings, "Yellow Pages" listings, "Blue Pages" government listings, and directory assistance databases associated with the areas covered by such publications in which the CLECs provide local telecommunications services either through resale or its own facilities. CLEC customers requesting to be omitted from such directories shall be omitted.
 - H. CLECs shall provide to the ILEC (including ILEC affiliate) publishing "White Pages",

"Yellow Pages", and "Blue Pages" directories the names, addresses and telephone numbers of all CLEC customers that do not wish to be omitted from such directories. The entries of CLEC customers in ILEC directories shall be interspersed alphabetically among the entries of the ILEC customers and shall be no different in style, size or format than the entries of the ILEC customers.

- I. ILECs shall, upon request of a CLEC, provide White, Yellow and Blue Pages directories to CLECs' customers.
- J. TSPs shall allow nondiscriminatory access to their poles by other TSPs for pole attachments pursuant to Commission General Order dated December 17, 1984.
- K. TSPs shall allow nondiscriminatory access to their conduits and rights-of-way by other TSPs for the provisioning of local telecommunications services.

SECTION 1101. Resale

- A. To encourage and promote competition in the local telecommunications markets, all facilities based TSPs shall make unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services available for resale to other TSPs.
- B. No facilities based TSP may impose any restrictions on the resale of its unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services provided that resale is of the same class of service and category of customer.
- C. TSPs shall revise their existing tariffs to remove any prohibitions on the resale of unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services within thirty (30) days of the effective date of these Regulations. TSPs filing initial tariffs shall not include in such tariffs any prohibitions on resale of unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services.
- D. During the transition to a competitive local telecommunications market, ILEC unbundled retail features, functions, capabilities and services, and bundled retail services, including vertical features, shall be tariffed and provided to other TSPs at reasonable wholesale rates based on cost information. The cost information shall be derived from the cost studies mandated in Section 901.C.2 above. These studies shall be provided to the Commission in accordance with the provisions of Section 901.C.2. This information will be used by the Commission to determine the ILEC's tariffed wholesale resale rates. There is no mandate that resold services be provided by the ILEC to TSPs at its TSLRIC or LRIC of providing such services.
- E. As of the effective date of these Regulations, and as an interim measure until the tariffed wholesale resale rates are developed pursuant to subsection D above, the wholesale resale

rates of an ILEC shall be the ILEC's current tariffed retail rates reduced by 10% to encourage and promote competition in the local telecommunications markets, and to reflect the ILEC's avoidance of retail costs, including but not limited to, sales, marketing and customer services associated with the resold items. ILEC services currently tariffed and provisioned below cost shall be available for wholesale resale in the manner described above. If deemed necessary by the Commission to ensure universal service, a subsidy mechanism may be established in Subdocket A of Docket U-20883, which would be available to an ILEC reselling services shown to be provisioned below cost for public interest purposes.

F. An ILEC shall make available non-discriminatory online access to the ILEC's operating systems at a reasonable cost-based charge per database dip to TSPs that desire to resell ILEC features, functions, capabilities and services. This access shall be made available according to the following guidelines: 1) within sixty (60) days of receipt of a bona fide request, the ILEC shall make the requested access available at a reasonable cost-based charge agreed to between the parties, or 2) if within sixty (60) days of receipt of a bona fide request, an agreement is not reached between the parties, or the ILEC responds that the request is not technically and/or economically feasible to provide, the matter will be resolved by the Commission upon petition of either party. As part of the Commission's review of the matter, the ILEC shall provide TSLRIC and LRIC studies to the Commission which show the cost of providing the requested access, including a detailed explanation of why the requested access is not technically or economically feasible to provide the requesting TSP.

G. Access shall be available to the following:

- 1. Direct, on-line access to the ILECs' mechanized order entry system. Access shall be considered adequate when the provided access permits the reseller to access an ILEC's mechanized order entry system to place initial orders, access information concerning service and feature availability, modify orders previously entered, schedule the installation of services and any necessary equipment, and to check on the status of all transactions that the reseller has initiated in a manner at least as efficient as the access provided the ILEC's own employees.
- 2. On-line access to numbering administration systems and to numbering resources.
- 3. Direct on-line access to the ILECs' trouble reporting and monitoring systems. Access is considered adequate if reseller can directly access remote line testing facilities, report service problems, schedule premise visits where required, and check the status of repairs. Arrangement must also provide for interception and automatic forwarding of repair calls placed by reseller customers to the reseller.
- 4. Customer usage data. Resellers must be provided timely on-line and printed reports pertaining to the Reseller's customers usage of ILEC local calling and switched

access services.

5. To local listing databases and updates. Resellers should be able to add, modify and delete directory listings for the Reseller's customers via on-line access to the ILEC's directory database, and new reseller customers' listings should be available from Directory Assistance on precisely the same basis and in the same time frame as applies for new ILEC retail subscribers.

This access shall equal that provided to the ILECs' own personnel. The Commission and its Staff will monitor the progress, or lack thereof, made in this area, and, if deemed necessary after notice and hearing, will impose an additional transitional resale discount on an ILEC's features, functions, capabilities and services until an ILEC's operating systems are accessible by TSPs on the terms specified herein.

- H. No TSP shall access the customer proprietary network information ("CPNI") of another interconnecting TSP for the purpose of marketing its services to the interconnecting company's customers. Likewise, no TSP shall access the CPNI of a company reselling its services, without permission of the reseller, for the purpose of marketing services to the reseller's customers.
- I. All ILECs shall offer an optional, unbundled version of their retail services that allows the reseller to use its own operator services and directory assistance services.
 - J. All ILECs shall offer these resold services to the resellers as "unbranded" services.

SECTION 1201. Consumer Protection.

- A. All TSPs shall comply with all applicable statutes and Commission rules, regulations, orders and policies regarding customer billing, deposits, provisioning of service and the handling of complaints.
 - B. The following additional consumer protection rules shall apply to all TSPs:
 - 1. Any solicitation by or on behalf of a TSP to a customer to terminate his/her service with another provider and switch his/her service to a new TSP shall include current rate information of the new provider and all other information regarding the service(s) to be provided including, but not limited to the terms and conditions under which the new provider will provide the service(s). Upon request of a customer, a TSP shall provide the customer information pertaining to the technical differences between the services provided by the customer's former TSP and the new TSP. All information provided shall be legible and printed in a minimum point size of type of at least 10 points. Failure to provide this

information to the customer shall result in a fine of \$500 for each violation in addition to any other fine and/or penalties assessed.

2. In order to switch a customer from one TSP to another TSP, the new provider must obtain a signed and dated statement from the customer prior to the switch indicating that he/she is the subscriber of the telephone service for a particular telephone account and number, that he/she has the authority to authorize the switch of service to the new provider and that he/she does authorize the switch. This signed statement must be a separate or severable document whose sole purpose is to authorize the switch of the customer's TSP. The signed statement cannot be contained on the same document as promotional material, a registration to enter a contest or a form to contribute money to a charity.

Among other fines and/or penalties, the TSP making an unauthorized switch shall be subject to a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) per unauthorized switch, required to pay the costs of switching that customer back to the customer's previous provider and required to refund to the customer amounts paid to the provider during the unauthorized service period and extinguish any other amounts due by the consumer and not billed and/or paid. All TSPs are responsible for the actions of their agents that solicit switches in an unauthorized manner and/or result in unauthorized switches.

- 3. A printed bill must be supplied to each customer at least once a month.
- 4. All billing for local telecommunications services must be presented for payment to the consumer within sixty (60) days of the date the consumer incurs the charge.
- 5. The customer's bill shall show the name of the TSP rendering service on behalf of the customer as opposed to the underlying carrier.
- 6. An address and a toll free telephone number for billing inquiries shall appear on each bill sent to the customer.
- 7. Interim dispute resolution procedures including interrupt and disconnect of services procedures, detailing how a customer can dispute a charge, lodge a complaint, and/or appeal to the Commission must be filed with the Commission and supplied to the customer upon request. The Commission will remain accessible to hear customer complaints as well as to resolve disputes among carriers regarding a customer complaint or problem. Final dispute resolution procedures are currently being considered by the Commission. When developed and approved, TSPs must comply with these procedures.
- 8. Customers must be given 30 days notice of any increase in price which is in

excess of 5% of the current price.

- 9. No termination fees will be permitted for residential and single line business basic local services.
- 10. No TSP can unilaterally and arbitrarily limit the amount of charges a customer can incur on his/her account regardless of whether the charges are for local, long distance or other toll charges unless the customer has a billed, outstanding balance due. Credit limits may be established when service is initiated, before charges are incurred or at any time upon an agreement between the TSP and customer.
- 11. No TSP may release nonpublic customer information regarding a customer's account or calling record.
- 12. No TSP may unilaterally place a block on its customer's telephone service when a particular amount of charges have been incurred and the customer has not been presented the opportunity and a reasonable amount of time to pay or make other payment arrangements to pay the charges. For inmate pay phone systems, a customer's telephone may be blocked from the receipt of calls from an inmate facility only if the TSP has a blocking policy submitted in a tariff format approved by the Commission.
- C. TSPs must file the service standard reports delineated in Section 302 in order to insure that consumers receive timely, adequate and quality service.
- D. The arrival of competition will not necessarily obviate the need of those whose incomes entitle them to assistance from the Lifeline Fund or similar fund. When appropriations become available for the Lifeline Fund, all TSPs shall be required to participate therein.
- E. Violation of any statute or Commission rule, regulation, order or policy applicable to regulated TSPs may result in the imposition of monetary fines, penalties and/ or the revocation of the a providers certificate.

SECTION 1301. Miscellaneous Provisions

A. Application. It is the intent of the Commission that these Regulations shall apply to all TSPs over which the Commission has regulatory authority. To the extent the Commission's regulatory authority over any particular TSP or over certain conduct or services offered or provided by any particular TSP is expressly preempted, then these Regulations shall be interpreted in a manner which recognizes all such preemptions so long as such preemption remains in effect.

- B. All provisions of Order No. U-17949-N, dated October 18, 1991, are unaffected by these Regulations and shall remain in effect unless contrary to or inconsistent with the goals and/or provision(s) of these Regulations, in which case the provision(s) of these Regulations shall preempt and supersede all affected provisions of Order No. U-17949-N. However, the Commission hereby rescinds Ordering Paragraph Nos. "10", "11" and "12" of Order No. U-17949-N.
- C. Severability. If a court of competent jurisdiction finds any provision of these Regulations to be invalid or unenforceable as to any TSP or circumstance, such finding shall not render that provision invalid or unenforceable as to any other TSPs or circumstances. If feasible, any such offending provision shall be deemed to be modified to be within the limits of enforceability or validity; however, if the offending provision cannot be so modified, it shall be stricken and all other provisions of these Regulations in all other respects shall remain valid and enforceable. In addition, in the event any provision of these Regulations is stayed in connection with a judicial review of these Regulations, the remaining provisions of these Regulations shall remain valid and enforceable.

APPENDIX A

LOUISIANA BASIC SERVICES

Local Basic Service, including calling options.

Basic Local Service
Subscriber Line Charges
Statewide Rate Schedules (flat, measured and message)
Monthly Exchange Rates
Local Measured/Message Rated Service
Expanded Local Calling Area Service
Link-Up Service
Joint User Service (5 or less subscribers)
Local Option Calling Plans (LOS and LOSB)
Local Saver Service
Local Tele Thrift
Party Line Service
Local Exceptions
Public Telephone Service
Semi-Public Access Line

Local Ordering, Installation, and Restoral

Basic Service Connection Trouble Determination Charges Dual Service Link Up

Other Services

Directory Listing
TouchTone
Customized Code Restriction
Blocking Service and Emergency Network Services
Directory Assistance (within local service area)
Local Operator Verification/Interrupt

APPENDIX B

LOUISIANA INTERCONNECTION SERVICES

Interconnection Services

Basic Serving Arrangement

Carrier Common Line Access

Clear Channel Capability

Common Channel Signaling Access Capability

Common Switching Optional Features

Dedicated Network Access Line (DNAL)

Direct Inward Dialing (DID) or DID/Direct with LSBA

DID/Direct Outward Dialing (DOD) Access with LSBSA

DID or DID/DOD with BSA

800 Access Service

Line Side Basic Serving Arrangement (LSBSA)

Local Switching

Local Transport

Network Blocking Charge for Feature Group D

Network Access Register Package

Trunk Side Access Facility

Trunk Side BSA

900 Access Service

Analog Services

Dedicated Access Lines for TSPs

Custom Network Service

Digital Data Service

High Capacity Service

Metallic Service

Voice Grade Analog Service

Customer Owned Coin Operator Telephone (COCOT) Services Access Line

Interconnection for Mobile Service Providers (includes cellular mobile)

Appendix "C"

Belflouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Consumer Price Protection Plan
Financial Report
Month Ending:
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	ALC: N. FOR THE LEMENT	NAIG	PENN-TO-INTE	
	3	73		
	COMBINED REGUEATED BATHASTAGE (C) (G)		UNATED WITHSTAT	3
OPERATING REVENUES				
Services Returning Services				
2. Network Access - Intersists				
3. Network Access - Intractate				<u>.</u>
4. Long Distance				
5. Mincellaneous				
6. Uncollectibles				
7. Total Operating Pevenues				
OPERATING EXPENSES				
9. Plant Specific				
9. Ptent Nonspecific				
10. Depreciation & Amortzetton				
11. Customer Operations				
12. Corporete Operations				
13. Other Expanses				
14. Other Taxes		-		<u> </u>
15. Total Operating Expenses				
16. Federal Income Tax				-
17. State Income Tax				
18. Total Expanses				
19. Other Income - Net				·
20 Interstate Contributions 21. Net Operating Income				

TIEM!	Telecommunications Plant in Service Telecommunications Plant Under Construction	3. Property Held for Future Use 4. Maintel and Supples 5. Oath	6. Remarch Systems & Engineering/ ATET Retends 7. Total Investment 8. Law: Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization	- - -	
COMPANY FOR COMPANY FOR COMPANY COMPAN					
SOUTHE MONTH SOUTHED NITHABIATE (c) (d)					
E COMBINED P					
M-15-DAIE CAMPO ECALATED INTUSTATE					
LAND OCCUPATION		÷.		·	

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORDER NO. U-17949- II

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


DOCKET NUMBER U-17949 and U-17949 (SUBDOCKET A)

ex parte

In re: Investigation of the Revenue Requirements, Rate Structure, Charges, Services, Rate of Return, and Construction Program of South Central Bell Telephone Company in its Louisiana Intrastate Operations, Appropriate Level of Access Charges and all matters relating to the Rates and Services rendered by the Company - Continuing Earnings Investigation. (Expiration of reserve deficiency amortisation and rate reductions attributable thereto.)

At the Commission's open meeting on December 7, 1993, the Commission voted to recognize and enforce the November 10, 1993 expiration of South Central Bell's ("Bell") reserve deficiency amortization. The Commission, however, deferred consideration of which Bell rates to reduce as a result of the expiration until the Commission's open meeting on February 2, 1994.

Special Counsel reported (both orally and pursuant to written reports) to the Commission at its open meeting on February 2, 1994, that the aggregate amount of the rate reduction arising from the expiration of Bell's reserve deficiency amortization was \$47,096,000.00. Additionally, Special Counsel reported to the Commission that it must decide whether Bell's rate reductions should be effective on February 1, 1994 or March 1, 1994. Ιf February 1 is the effective date, then the rate reduction credit amount, calculated with interest at the rate of \$11.20%, is \$10.596 Million. If March 1 is the effective date, then the rate reduction credit amount is \$14.637 Million. Additionally, Special Counsel reported to the Commission that it must decide the mechanism to deliver the rate reduction credit amount to ratepayers and whether any adjustments or allocations should be made to the rate reduction credit amount. Special Counsel recommended that Bell be ordered to return, subject to any



Commission ordered adjustments or allocations, the rate reduction credit amount to ratepayers in the form of a one-time credit on their monthly bills. Finally, Special Counsel, as with all Commission ordered rate reductions by Bell, recommended that the Commission, through protective measures and monitoring, verify that the rate reductions are actually occurring. Specifically, the protective measures and monitoring recommended by Special Counsel are:

- (a) The Commission will verify through monitoring that the proposed rate reduction tariffs will in fact accomplish the projected rate reductions;
- (b) In subsequent months, the Commission will confirm through monitoring that the filed tariffs will actually yield an aggregate rate reduction of not less than \$47,096,000;
- (c) The acceptance of the tariffs shall be specifically conditioned on the requirement that the aggregate impact of the reduced rates set forth in the tariffs filed by Bell must produce a total rate reduction in an amount not less than \$47,096,000, and in the event that the total rate reduction is determined to be less than that amount, Bell will be required to take measures to assure additional rate reductions in the amount of the deficit (adjusted as necessary for the amount of time that the effect of such rate reduction has been delayed); and
- (d) If any of the tariffs filed by Bell are successfully challenged, and not implemented or only partially implemented, new tariff filings will be required to accomplish the rate reductions.

In assessing the reports of Special Counsel and the rate reduction options available to the Commission, the Commission finds that rate reductions should be applied to achieve reductions in the residential and business monthly TouchTone R charge, intrastate switched access, intraLATA MTS rates, zone charges, the monthly rates charged to residential ratepayers in metropolitan New Orleans, and an education discount for schools and libraries. Further, the Commission finds that the rate reductions by Bell should be effective March 1, 1994 and that the rate reduction credit amount should be delivered to ratepayers in the form of a

one-time credit on their monthly bills. Regarding the rate reduction credit amount, the Commission has determined that two adjustments in favor of ratepayer groups are necessary. First, \$1,316,535 of the rate reduction credit amount shall be credited to schools and libraries to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring charge associated with the Education Discount Program. Second, for the remaining rate reduction credit amount, an adjustment (using a 2.4 factor) shall be made in favor of business ratepayers. The basis for this adjustment in favor of business ratepayers is that the rates for business ratepayers are about 2.4 times more than residential rates. The distribution of the \$47,096,000 in rate reductions is to be as follows:

A.	TouchTone Tariff (reduction monthly residential charge)	of	\$13.340	Million
В.	TouchTone Tariff (reduction monthly business charge)	of	\$11.380	Million
c.	Intrastate Switched Access		\$ 5.0	Million
D.	IntraLATA MTS		\$ 3.3	Million
E.	Zone Charges		\$ 4.676	Million
F.		to in	\$ 3.8	Million
G.	Education Discount Program		\$ 5.6	Million

On the basis of the foregoing discussion,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The reports of Special Counsel are accepted;
- Bell will file tariffs to accomplish the rate reductions determined to be in the amount of at least \$47,096,000;
- 3. These rate reduction tariff filings are subject to the protective measures and monitoring set forth in the text of this Order;
- 4. Bell will file rate reduction tariffs, effective March 1, 1994, to accomplish the rate reductions in the aggregate amount of \$47,096,000, and will allocate the rate reductions in the amounts and to the services set forth below:
 - A. Touchtone Tariff (reduction of monthly residential charge) \$13.340 Million

 B. TouchTone Tariff (reduction of monthly business charge) \$11.380 Million

 C. Intrastate Switched Access Reduction \$5.0 Million

- Zone Charge Reduction
- \$ 4.676 Million
- E. IntraLATA MTS Reduction
- \$ 3.3 Million

Million

\$ 3.8

- Reduce Residential Monthly Basic Exchange Service Rates Paid by Metropolitan New Orleans (inclusive of Jefferson Parish and St. Bernard) Subscribers to the residential Monthly Basic Exchange Service Rates in Effect in Baton Rouge (currently Rate Group 14)
- \$ 5.6 Million
- Education Discount Program
- The Commission's authorization of the Education Discount Program is subject to review for legal considerations by Special Counsel and the Staff of the Commission. The Commission also will review the Education Discount Program in 2 years; and
- The rate reduction credit amount shall be \$14.637 6. Million. \$1,316,535 of the rate reduction credit amount shall be credited to schools and libraries to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring tariffed charge for the Education Discount Program. The remaining rate reduction credit amount shall be distributed to ratepayers in the form of a one-time credit on their monthly bills. The calculation of the one-time credit shall be subject to an adjustment in favor of business ratepayers by the use of a 2.4 factor.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

March 18, , 1994

rrict II

CHAIRMAN KATHLEEN B. BLANCO

DISTRICT I

VICE-CHAIRMAN JOHN F. SCHWEGMANN

DISTRICT IV

COMMISSIONER THOMAS E. POWELL

DISTRICT

COMMISSIONER DON OWEN

DISTRICT III

COMMISSIONER IRMA M. DIXON

LOUISIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORDER NO. U-17949- JJ

LOUISIAMA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NUMBER U-17949 and U-17949 (SUBDOCKET A)

ex parte

In re: Investigation of the Revenue Requirements, Rate Structure, Charges, Services, Rate of Return, and Construction Program of South Central Bell Telephone Company in its Louisiana Intrastate Operations, Appropriate Level of Access Charges and all matters relating to the Rates and Services rendered by the Company - Continuing Earnings Investigation. (Expiration of deficiency amortisation and rate reductions attributable thereto. Legality of Amounts Dedicated to the Education Discount Program.)

At the Commission's open meeting on December 7, 1993, the Commission voted to recognize and enforce the November 10, 1993 expiration of South Central Bell's ("Bell") reserve deficiency amortization. The Commission, however, deferred consideration of which Bell rates to reduce as a result of the expiration until the Commission's open meeting on February 2, 1994.

The Commission, at its open meeting on February 2, 1994, authorized several rate reductions by Bell. Included in the rate reduction, was the conditional authorization of an Education Discount Program in the amount of \$5.6 Million. The authorization was conditional pending a review of the legality of the Education Discount Program and the Commission's authorization of a credit to schools and libraries of \$1,316,535 to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring charge for the Education Discount Program hook-ups.

At the Commission's open meeting on March 9, 1994, Gayle Kellner, Staff Counsel, reported to the Commission that her research and review of the matter indicated that: (a) the \$5.6 Million rate reduction by Bell for the Education Discount Program was legal and within the plenary authority of the Commission; and (b) the Commission's allocation of \$1,316,535 of the rate reduction credit amount as a credit to schools and libraries to

offset the one-time non-recurring charge of Bell associated with the Education Discount Program was a gray area. More specifically, Ms. Kellner reported, that as to the \$1,316,535, there is no Louisiana case law directly on point, and therefore, the Commission must decide whether its treatment of the \$1,316,535 is reasonable.

Based on the foregoing, the Commission confirmed its approval and authorization of the Education Discount Program and the allocation of the \$1,316,535 as a credit to schools and libraries to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring charge. The Commission, however, made it clear that (i) the exclusive purpose of the \$1,316,535 was to offset Bell's one-time charge upon Bell's performance of the hook-ups and (ii) only those schools recognized by the State Department of Education as a school shall be entitled to participate in the Education Discount Program.

On the basis of the foregoing,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- The \$5.6 Million rate reduction by Bell for the Education Discount Program (the "Program") and the allocation of \$1,316,535 of the rate reduction credit amount as a credit to schools and libraries to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring charge is hereby confirmed, approved, and Bell is ordered to file the necessary tariff(s) to implement the Program, retroactive to March 1, 1994;
- 2. The schools eligible to participate in the Program are the accredited public and private educational institutions recognized as schools by the Louisiana Department of Education; and
- 3. The exclusive purpose of the \$1,316,535 is to offset Bell's one-time non-recurring charge associated with the Program, applicable upon performance by Bell of the hook-ups for the Program.